For the Northern District of California

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	THOMPSON ET AL,	No. C04-03272 MJJ
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER RE JOINT STATEMENTS OF
13	V.	UNDISPUTED FACTS
14	GENENTECH INC,	
15	Defendant.	
16		

Pending before the Court are Defendant Genentech, Inc.'s Motions for Summary Judgment re Plaintiffs Arlene Thompson and Donna Jerez's Claims. Pursuant to this Court's Standing Order ¶3, the parties are required to submit a joint statement of undisputed facts ten court days prior to the date of the hearing on the summary judgment motions. On May 9, 2006, Genentech filed Separate Statements of Undisputed Facts in support of its Motions (Docs. #162, #163). Additionally, defense counsel, Patricia Gillette, filed a Supplemental Declaration indicating that the parties were unable to produce a joint statement, as required by the Local Rules and this Court's Standing Order. Thereafter, each of the Plaintiffs submitted a Statement of Undisputed Facts in Opposition to Genentech's Motions (Docs. #169, #170.) As part of their Statements, Plaintiffs included sections entitled "Defendant's Proposals," wherein Plaintiffs set forth "modifications and replacements Plaintiffs proposed to a Statement of Undisputed Facts prepared by Defendants, prior to 4:00 p.m. on May 9, 2006." On May 24, 2006, Plaintiff then filed an Application to File Responses to Defendant's Separate Statements of Undisputed Facts (Doc. #172).

As indicated above, this Court's Standing Order requires the parties to file a joint statement of		
undisputed facts ten days prior to the hearing date on a motion for summary judgment. Additionally		
Local Rule 56-2(b) provides:		
(b) Procedure if Joint Statement Ordered. If the assigned Judge orders the submission of a joint statement of undisputed facts, the parties shall confer and submit, on or before a date set by the assigned Judge, a joint statement of undisputed facts. If the nonmoving party refuses to join in the statement, the moving party will nevertheless be permitted to file the motion, accompanied by a separate declaration of counsel explaining why a joint statement was not filed. Whether or not sanctions should be imposed for failure to file a joint statement of undisputed facts is a matter within the discretion of the assigned Judge.		
Rather than comply with either of these provisions, the parties, without authorization, have filed separate		
statements of undisputed facts. Moreover, Plaintiffs contest certain portions of Genentech's Separate		
Statements of Undisputed Facts, thereby undermining the entire purpose of submitting statements of		
undisputed facts to assist the Court in evaluating Genentech's pending Motions.		
Consequently, the Court hereby orders the parties to file a joint statement of undisputed facts		
for each of the pending Motions, by 5:00 p.m, on June 5, 2006. Failure to comply with this Order shall		
result in the imposition of sanctions.		
Dated: 5/30/2006 Dated: 5/30/2006 MARTIN J. JENKINS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		